# Follow Up of Digestive Cancers Richard Sim Dept of Surgery Tan Tock Seng Hospital

# Digestive Cancers: local incidence

Age Standardized Rates (per 100,000 per year)

M F
colorectal 37.5 29.4
stomach 21.0 11.3
liver 18.9 4.7
oesophagus 5.81.4




Figure 5.1(a) TEN MOST FREQUENT CANCERS IN MALES, 1993-97




Figure 5.1(b) TEN MOST FREQUENT CANCERS IN FEMALES, 1993-97

## Background information

- Patient factors
  - age
  - functional status
  - co-morbidity

ECOG status

- Tumour factors
  - site
  - histological type and differentiation
  - stage: T, N, M, peritoneal lavage cytology

#### Background information

- Treatment factors
  - surgery
    - · curative
      - limited / radical
      - residual disease (RO, R1, R2)
    - palliative
      - resection / bypass -stoma
  - adjuvant chemotherapy / radiotherapy
- Post-treatment duration / progress

# Aims of Follow up


- · detect recurrence
- detect metachronous tumours and pre-malignant lesions
- detect complications of treatment

#### How to follow up?


- How often and what investigations?
- · Questions:
  - does intensive follow up lead to early detection?
  - does treatment of this lead to improved survival?
  - any lead time bias?
  - any side effects?
  - is it cost effective?

#### Colorectal Ca: benefits of FU

- 2/3 receive curative resection, 30-50% of these relapse and die of disease
- Practice
  - Wales and Trent audit (1997)
    - 57% colonoscopy 3-5 times in 5 years
    - · 13% no routine testing at all
- Guidelines
  - SIGN (1997)
    - · no evidence of survival benefit
- Evidence
  - RCTs: none with sufficient power
  - meta-analyses



Results of meta-analysis of seven non-randomised trials that compared intensive with minimal or no follow up (Bruinvels et al, 1994)



#### Results of intensive follow up®

| Follow up  | Colonoscopy | Chest<br>x ray | Liver<br>CT |
|--|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| Standard (n = 158)   | 72          | 17             | 66          |
| Intensive (n = 167)  | 577         | 650            | 674         |
| No of extra investigations   | 505         | 633            | 608         |
| No of asymptomatic<br>recurrences resulting from<br>extra investigations | 0           | 0              | 10          |
| No of cures resulting from<br>extra investigations                       | (O)         | 1              | 1           |

 $\mathrm{CT}\!=\!\mathrm{computed}$  tomography. \*Data from Schoemaker et al, 1998 (see Further reading box).

#### Costs of follow up, suggested by recent study from Italy

- £2530 per patient over five years
- £9050 per recurrence detected.
- £39 890 for each case undergoing further surgery
- · £91 190 for each "cured" patient

#### Results of "second look" surgery according to measurement of carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA)\*

| CEA<br>concentration | No of patients | No (%) of<br>"curative"<br>resections | % of patients free<br>of recurrence at<br>1 year |
|----------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Raised               | 345            | 47 (14)                               | 2.9  |
| Normal               | 672            | 38 (6)                                | 1.9  |
| Not measured         | 200            | 23 (12)                               | 2.0  |

Data from Moertel et al. 1993.

#### Comparison of results of trial of early versus delayed chemotherapy in patients with advanced colorectal cancer

| Treatment<br>group | No of patients | Median<br>symptom-<br>free survival<br>(months) | Median<br>survival<br>(months) | Survival<br>at I year<br>(%) |
|--------------------|----------------|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Early              | 92             | 10  | 14                             | 55                           |
| Delayed            | 91             | 2   | 9                              | 38                           |

Early chemotherapy was given when patients were asymptomatic; delayed chemotherapy was given when patients were symptomatic. Data from the Nordic Gastrointestinal Tumor Group, 1992.

# Colorectal Ca FU: Metanalysis

| Study                                      | Intensive follow up   | Control follow up   |
|--|---|---|
| Makela et al,<br>1995 <sup>17</sup>        | Seen in clinic 3 monthly for first 2 years, then 6 monthly: physical examination, full blood count, faecal occult blood test, carcinoembryonic antigen levels, and chest x ray. Yearly colonoscopy. Sigmoidoscopy 3 monthly for rectal and sigmoid cancers. Ultrasonography of liver 6 monthly. Computed tomography yearly. All followed up to 5 years                  | Seen in clinic 3 monthly for first 2 years, then 6 monthly: physical examination, full blood count, faecal occult blood test, carcinoembryonic antigen levels, and chest x ray. Yearly barium enema. Rigid sigmoidoscopy 3 monthly for rectal cancers. All followed up to 5 years                                       |
| Ohlsson et<br>al, 1995 <sup>18</sup>       | Seen in clinic 3 monthly for the first 2 years, then 6 monthly: physical examination, rigid proctosigmoidoscopy, liver function tests, carcinoembryonic antigen levels, faecal occult blood test, chest x ray. Colonoscopy at 3, 15, 30, and 60 months, computed tomography after abdominoperineal resection at 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months. All followed up to 5 years | No systematic follow up. Patients were instructed to leave samples for faecal occult blood test testing every third month during the first 2 years and then every year. All accounted for to 5 years  |
| Schoemaker<br>et al,<br>1998 <sup>19</sup> | Seen in clinic 3 monthly for first 2 years, then 6 monthly for 5 years; physical examination, full blood count, liver function tests, and Haemoccult II. Yearly chest $x$ ray and computed tomography of liver. Yearly colonoscopy. Carcinoembryonic antigen measurements were performed but not used to trigger further examinations. 94% followed up to 5 years       | Seen in clinic 3 monthly for first 2 years, then 6 monthly for 5 years; physical examination, full blood count, liver function tests, carcinoembryonic antigen levels, and Haemoccult II. Carcinoembryonic antigen measurements were performed but not used to trigger further examinations. 95% followed up to 5 years |
| Pietra et al,<br>1998 <sup>∞</sup>         | Seen in clinic 3 monthly for first 2 years, then 6 monthly for next 3 years, thereafter yearly; physical examination, ultrasonography of liver, carcinoembryonic antigen levels. Yearly colonoscopy, chest x ray, and computed tomography. All followed up to 5 years   | Seen in clinic 6 monthly for first year, then yearly; physical examination, ultrasonography of liver, carcinoembryonic antigen levels. Yearly colonoscopy and chest x ray. All followed up to 5 years   |
| Kjeldsen et<br>al, 1997 <sup>21</sup>      | Physical examination, digital rectal examination, gynaecological examination, Haemoccult-II, colonoscopy, chest x ray, full blood count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, liver function tests, at 6 monthly in first 3 years, then 12 monthly for next 2 years, then 5 yearly. 79% followed up to 5 years   | Physical examination, digital rectal examination, gynaecological examination, Haemoccult-II, colonoscopy, chest x ray, full blood count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, liver function tests, at 5 and 10 years. 73% followed up to 5 years  |




Fig 3 Pooled analysis with summary estimates (fixed effects method) for five year survival: data categorised into detection groups in accordance with a priori hypothesis (see methods)





Fig 4 Pooled data and summary risk ratios (fixed effects method) for recurrences, metastases, and metachronous (second colorectal primary) cancers

#### Colorectal Ca FU: conclusions

- Intensive FU after curative resection improves survival at 5 years - absolute reduction in mortality of 9-13%
- Effect superior to the 5-10% benefit for adjuvant chemotherapy for Duke's C disease
- The cause of survival benefit is unclear. The components of follow up which are of most benefit are not defined
- Does not include benefits of
  - liver resection for hepatic mets
  - pelvic exenteration for recurrent pelvic disease
  - combined therapy for advanced disease
  - psychological well being

#### Colorectal Ca FU: conclusions

- Recurrence in all sites detected 8.5 months earlier in intensive group. No difference in overall recurrence rates between the two groups
- Intraluminal recurrence and detection of metachronous cancers were low in both groups
- It is not appropriate to follow up ALL patients intensively
- More research is required to define a suitable policy which utilises already limited resources



Suggested study outline to test three follow up strategies: intensive v minimalist; role of carcinoembyronic antigen; and general practitioner (community) coordinated v hospital coordinated

#### Colorectal Ca FU: recommendations

- Every 3 months for 2 years, then 6 monthly till 5 years
- · Physical, rectal and pelvic examination
- · FBC, LFT, CEA, FOB
- · Yearly CXR, colonoscopy and CT liver

#### Annals of Internal Medicine 1999;131:805-12

- Reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction.
- Guanylyl cyclase C messenger RNA (GCC mRNA) was found in the lymph nodes of all of the 10 patients who had recurring cancer within three years of surgery. Moreover, the protein did not show up in any of the samples taken from the 11 patients who were free of disease for six years or more after surgery

#### Lancet 2002 Jan 19, 359(9302):219-25

- Counting alleles to predict recurrence of earlystage colorectal cancers
- Allelic imbalance is a better predictor of prognosis than histopathological stage

#### NEJM June 15, 2000 A Comparison of Colonoscopy and Double-Contrast Barium Enema for Surveillance after Polypectomy

 In patients who have undergone colonoscopic polypectomy, colonoscopic examination is a more effective method of surveillance than double-contrast barium enema. (N Engl J Med 2000;342:1766-72.)

### Gastric Cancer: results of treatment

|                     | JAPAN | US   |
|---------------------|-------|------|
| 30 day<br>mortality | 1.7%  | 7.2% |
| Ia                  | 100   | 59   |
| Ib                  | 89.9  | 44   |
| II                  | 71.3  | 29   |
| IIIa                | 47.9  | 15   |
| IIIb                | 28.7  | 9    |
| IV                  | 11.5  | 3    |

#### Gastric Ca: benefits of follow up

- No worthwhile treatment for peritoneal, liver or distant metastasis
- Isolated loco-regional recurrence rare but treatable
- Metachronous EGC can be cured by endoscopic treatment

#### Gastric Ca FU: recommendations

- Every 3 months for 2 years, then 6 monthly till 5 years
- · Physical, rectal and pelvic examination
- Yearly gastroscopy for
  - T1: to detect metachronous EGC
  - T2: to detect isolated local recurrence
  - T3:?
- Tumour markers?
  - CEA, CA19-9, AFP, CA125

## Gastric Ca FU: Mx of late sequelae

- Side effects and postprandial sequelae
  - early fullness
  - early dumping syndrome
  - reactive hypoglycaemic attacks
  - diarrhoea
    - truncal vagotomy
    - · early dumping
    - bacterial overgrowth
    - · steatorrhoea
  - bile reflux

# Gastric Ca FU: Mx of late sequelae

- Nutritional problems
  - general malnutrition and weight loss
    - · carbohydrate absorption complete but abnormal pattern
    - protein absorption decreased
    - fat absorption reduced by 20%
  - specific deficiencies
    - vitamin B12
    - other B vitamins
    - fat soluble vitamins
    - Fe